Present

App. P. IX-1

Previous References

1931 (Miscl.)

File No. 178—34

Serial Nos. 1

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for December 31

SUBJECT.

Vadagarai Kataram-am

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

C 13.]

No. 988/33-34

Encl 2 & one file

Mus 178-34-1.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 24th October 1934.

25

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

Rajasevasakta
R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Department

SIR, Administration of the Devadaya village given to the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Molakalmuru.

In continuation of this office letter No.C.988/33-34 dated 2 June 1934 re the above, I have the honour to state that in his lett dated 10th February 1934 (copy enclosed) the Deputy Commissioner -- stated that as the temple was in a good condition and as puja and - other services were regularly performed and the purpose of the grant was fulfilled, the institution with the inam village may be left in the management of the vahivatdar Mr. Raghanna.

2. On an examination of the records it was found that the Sub-Division Officer, Chitaldrug had passed an order in 1915 to the -- effect that if the village should yield greater revenue on account o improvements that may be effected, the Manager should not be -- compelled to earmark such extra revenue for the benefit of the temple and the Deputy Commissioner was thereupon requested to intimate ---- whether the order should not be cancelled for reasons explained in this office letter dated 6th March 1934 (copy enclosed). In his present communication dated 14th September 1934, the Deputy Commissioner states that the order of the Sub Division Officer passed in 1915 is ultra vires and may therefore be cancelled.

3. I have requested the Deputy Commissioner to cancel formally the order of 1915 and restore status quo. As regards the management, the Sub Division Officer has, after personal inspection, ---- reported that the management is satisfactory and the Deputy Commissioner has

P.T.O.

has restated his previous opinion that interference of Government is not called for in the matter. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner.

4. The file of vernacular papers is enclosed for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient sefvant,

G. Rudrappa
Senior Asst Comr
for Muzrai Commissioner.

VSB (absent from Hd quarters)

Submit for perusal with papers
on the subject. No further action seems called
for

DPS
1/11

The Collection during 397-28-17 refd to in
the last para of Sobid in Raghavaiah's
Statement of 17.11.33 may kindly be
obtained & kept up for refce.

The income of the institution from
several sources as also the expenditure
incurred may kindly be noted

4 The collection 347-24-14 Refd to above is
5 The income and expenditure of the institution
for the years 1929-30 to 1932-33 are furnished in the statement
given below

DPS
10/11

Returned for perusal.

Said report is invited to the notes &
orders in Muzrai file No 307-33 Sol-6 post
ups. It will be seen therefrom that the matter
was dropped. This is only a proper report & No
further action is necessary on this

10/11.

Ch: Secy)

10/11

476

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

8) A draft reducing the seconds to the H.C is pending.

19/11

Dec 2/34

TYPING SECTION. 21 NOV 1934 CITY.

7 S Letter 22 11 34
The H.C in Nigeria

Reducing the seconds

B
23/11/34

File No. of 193 General and Re Secretariat

Letter No.A5.461/33-34 dated 10th February 1934 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your memo No.376/33-34 dated 22nd September 193: forwarding a copy of the discussions at the last session of the Legislati ve Council held in June 1933 about the administration of Devadaya village -- given to Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Molakalmuru Taluk and requesting to make further enquiry in the matter and report the result, I have the honor to state that the temple is in a good condition, that the puja and other services arebeing regularly performed and that the purpose of the grant is being correctly fulfilled. The Sub Division Officer, ----- Chitaldrug is of opinion that there is no need for justification for the appointment of a committee of Dharmadarsis to the institution. I therefore recommend that the institution with the inam village endowed to it may be left in the management of the vahivatdar Mr. Raghanna as the ---- purpose of the grant is being fulfilled.

I may also add that Mr. Chandrasekhariah has not made any separate representation in the matter as he had promised to do so during the ----- course of the discussion.

The

File No. of 193 . General and Secretariat

- 2 -

The enclosures received with your letter under reply are herewith returned for reference.

"C o p y"

G, Rudrappa

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Management of the Inam village endowed to the Sri Venkataramanaswa temple at Molakalmuru.

With reference to your letter No.A5-461/33 dated 10th February 1934 re the abov , I have the honour to invite reference to the concluding ----- portion of the Sub Division Officer's report dated 7th December 1933 to the effect that the then Sub Division Officer has passed an order on 5th June 1915 to the effect that if the village should yield greater revenue on ----- account of improvements that may be affected, the manager should not be ---- compelled to ear-mark such extra revenue also for the benefit of the temple etc and to request to be informed of the authority and the circumstances -- under which the above order was passed. The sannad granted by His Highness the Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur, III, makes it clear that the entire income from the village should be spent for purposes of the temple for the following words occur in the sannad



The inam was confirmed during the Settlement in terms of the sannad, i.e., for the support of the services of Venkataramanaswami of Molakalmuru and --

and the claim of the manager for extra income, if any, was neither considered nor recognized. The order issued by Subedar Gopala Krishnarajah Urs to the Shanbogue and Gowda of Maralahalli cannot certainly supersede the orders conveyed previously in the Maharaja's Sannad. In view of these facts, the order said to have been passed by the Sub Division Officer in 1915 should be cancelled as it will adversely affect the interests of the institution. I request that the matter may be re-examined in the light of the above observations and a further report with your opinion sent up for submission to -- Government.

The file of vernacular papers is enclosed.

"C o p y"

G Rudrappa

For Muzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 750 Confile 22nd **Bangalore, Dated,** 21st November 1934.

From Mys

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to return herewith the records receive with your letter No.988/33-34 dated 25th October 1934 -- regarding the administration of the Devadaya village giv to the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Molakalmuru.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Secretary to Govt

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 750 Income tax

To

The M.C in charge

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

I am directed to refer
herewith the records called for
~~[illegible]~~ in your
letter No 988/33-74 dated 25.10.74
regarding the administration of the
Deboduya Vilaya granted to the
Sri Venkatramanaswami temple
at Molakalmuru

I have etc

Date of issue 22-11-74

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....